

OPEN ACCESS

Healthcare system simulation using Witness

To cite this article: Masoud Khakdaman *et al* 2013 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **410** 012109

View the [article online](#) for updates and enhancements.

You may also like

- [An insight into optical metrology in manufacturing](#)
Yuki Shimizu, Liang-Chia Chen, Dae Wook Kim et al.
- [Evaluation and Influence Factor Analysis on Industrial Competitiveness of Manufacturing Industry of Pearl River Delta](#)
Huaping Guan, Zhuolan Li and Langduo Hu
- [The Impact of Higher Education to Manufacturing-Based on Threshold Model](#)
Yaodong Bao, Yanshu Li and Junshen Chen



ECS The Electrochemical Society
Advancing solid state & electrochemical science & technology

247th ECS Meeting
Montréal, Canada
May 18-22, 2025
Palais des Congrès de Montréal

Showcase your science!

ECS UNITED

Abstract submission deadline extended: December 20

Healthcare system simulation using Witness

**Masoud Khakdaman, Milad Zeinahvazi, Bahareh Zohoori, Fardokht Nasiri,
Kuan Yew Wong**

Department of Manufacturing and Industrial Engineering, Universiti Teknologi
Malaysia (UTM), Skudai, 81310, Johor, MALAYSIA

masoudkhakdaman@gmail.com

Abstract: Simulation techniques have a proven track record in manufacturing industry as well as other areas such as healthcare system improvement. In this study, simulation model of a health center in Malaysia is developed through the application of WITNESS simulation software which has shown its flexibility and capability in manufacturing industry. Modelling procedure is started through process mapping and data collection and continued with model development, verification, validation and experimentation. At the end, final results and possible future improvements are demonstrated.

1. Introduction

Over time, simulation tools and techniques are applied in problem detection and process improvement of many manufacturing and service systems. Popularity and flexibility of the simulation programs enable organizations to monitor the whole aspect of their systems and plan for business development prior to facing the real behavior of their industry or service [1].

Among various service organizations, healthcare industry has a fundamental and significant role in upgrading lifestyle standards. In this regard, usage of different tools and methods to enhance the capability of healthcare service providers to keep up with the growing changes of their industry is being increased. However, the process of migrating to the new or improved environment or methodology is not easily acceptable by healthcare staff and managers. Simulation allows for various types of assessments and examinations to be done. It does not burden managers with large amounts of money and it reduces any possible risk and danger in the healthcare performance [2]. Thus, this paper aims to assess the benefits and drawbacks of the current healthcare system of a health center in Malaysia and suggest recommendations to enhance the healthcare level in the supposed case study.

In the rest of this paper, a review of the current literature and WITNESS simulation software is presented. Then the case study is introduced and the simulation process is demonstrated. Next, model verification and validation is mentioned. After that, model experimentation and results are depicted as well as final conclusions.

1.1. Computer simulation of healthcare industry

Although simulation modelling has been widely used in manufacturing and logistics during the past four decades, healthcare is an issue that has not benefitted from the advancements and capabilities of simulation modelling [3, 4]. According to [5], application of simulation techniques in healthcare has noticeably increased after 2000 while before that only a handful of studies were conducted in this area. In this regard, application of simulation techniques in healthcare can be categorized into several areas including decision making for medical, administrative and operational issues [6], investigating control

programs, monitoring medical progress, forecasting future incidences, designing health care systems [7], improving the factors which affect the patients' flow such as waiting time, stay length, patient throughput and clinical overtime and allocating resources [8]. As an example, [9] applied lean manufacturing concepts through simulation techniques in healthcare and demonstrated its tangible results such as decreasing costs, errors and waiting time of patients. In addition, [10] presented some intangible results, for instance, increasing the customer and employee satisfaction as well as staff motivation. Assessing the impact of several scenarios, [11] reengineered a healthcare system using simulation techniques. [12] and [13] applied mathematical programming for scheduling of doctors of an emergency room and healthcare resource allocation of a health center respectively. [14] developed a simulation approach using multi-objective optimization for treatment of cancer in a health center. By combining design of experiments and simulation, [15] estimated the capacity of an emergency room. [16] merged discrete-event simulation and lean manufacturing concepts to develop a new approach for improving healthcare systems and depicted the impact of their approach through three examples.

1.2. Overview of WITNESS simulation software

In this paper, WITNESS simulation software is applied because of its strengths and flexible features as one of the powerful and popular Visual Interactive Simulation Modeling (VISM) systems [17] for discrete-event simulation. WITNESS is capable of designing complex processes of manufacturing enterprises and service industries through quick and accurate modeling and detailed mathematical support as well as comprehensive assessment and evaluation reports [18].

The modeling procedure in WITNESS is started using different basic entities called elements and after defining appropriate specifications, it is continued with relating the elements through using graphical and coded connectors. In addition, each element can be programmed using built-in codes.

2. Case study: a health center in Malaysia

A health center in the Johor Bahru city of Malaysia is considered. This medical center provides health services including medication, laboratory, dental services etc from 8:00 A.M to 10:00 P.M (regular time) and also during emergency time (from 10:00 P.M to 8:00 A.M). The scope of this case study includes the queuing systems associated with the treatment services (only Medical services) and supportive services (Pharmacy and Laboratory) which are provided by four doctors in regular time.

2.1. Process mapping and data collection

In the first step, the conceptual model of the case study, as one of the initial steps in a simulation process, is used to deeply understand the actual workflow of the system and determine how to design the simulation model (Figure 1). There are three counters in the arrival area of the health center that put the patients into a queue for scanning their barcode number and waiting for visiting doctors. Here, as patients go to the shortest queue behind the three counters, when they arrive in the health center, a decision-making entity has been defined before the counters' queues. In addition, based on the statistical study of patients, almost 13 % of them are advised to go to the laboratory. Thus, another decision-making entity is defined after seeing the doctors in order to separate patients which must go to the laboratory from the rest. Finally, all patients go to the pharmacy to receive their medicines.




Figure 1. Conceptual model of the health center

Using the stop watch method, required data on cycle times, inter arrival times, setup times, waiting times, break down times, queue capacities and number of servers are collected from around 500

2.2. Model development

2.3. Verification and validation of the model

2.3.1. *Model verification.* In general, verification must be performed to ensure about the accuracy of the programmed model, its specifications and algorithms, and also its implementation. There are several ways to verify a simulation model such as incremental model building, expert evaluation, internal evaluation, consistency test and so on. In this study incremental model building is applied to verify the simulated model.

3




Figure 5. Third Step for Verification of the simulation model

In addition to the incremental model building method, most of the transactions of the simulated model are tested to check whether they are the same with what we have expected or not. For instance, the results of one random run of the model are depicted in Table 1 to demonstrate that the busy time of the pharmacy is 35.11 % when serving 257 patients in 14 hours (840 minutes). On the other hand, the mean value of the distribution function of the pharmacy service time is 1.13 minutes, and the busy time of the pharmacy is 294.92 minutes (840×0.3511) which brings the expectation of serving almost 261 patients into account ($294.92/1.13$). Comparison of the results of the simulation model (257) and statistical calculation (261) depicts very little difference (around 1.5 %) which shows the strength of the model in terms of verification.

Table 1. Verification data of the simulated model

Parameter	Pharmacy
Idle Time (%)	64.89%
Busy Time (%)	35.11%
Number of Operations	257

2.3.2. Model Validation. The crucial role of model validation can be stated as making the model development team confident about the adoption of the simulated model to the actual situation of the system and its problems in a sensible manner. According to [19] there are four main approaches for model validation. The first approach is making the decision by simulation team members. The second approach is checking the model by different tests and evaluations during the simulation model building process to reach a subjective decision. The third approach which is frequently called “independent verification and validation” (IV&V), validates the model by applying the decision of a third party team. Using a scoring model can be mentioned as the fourth approach.

In this study, the process of checking whether or not the model represents the actual situation of the health center is made by comparing the average number of patients served by the health center during a 14 hours working time and the average number of patients served through the simulation model during a run of 840 minutes. This length of the run is used because the simulation model is a terminating system. In the next step, we should determine the number of replications, which should be large enough to make us confident about the results. Since the distribution of the study’s population is not clear, we use the t distribution to determine the number of replications with a 95% confidence level. For estimating number of the replications, the formula presented by [20] $n_m = \left((s * t_{m-1, \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}}) / (\bar{x} * k) \right)^2$, is applied where n = number of simulation runs to achieve the desired accuracy level, $\bar{x}$ = the mean estimate of an initial m number of runs, s = the standard deviation estimate of m number of runs, α = confidence level, k = permissible percentage of error, and $t_{m-1, \frac{(1-\alpha)}{2}}$ = critical value of the two-tailed t-distribution at a level of significance, given $m-1$ degrees of freedom. Here, the model has been run for six times and the mean and standard deviation are calculated (Table 2). Using $t_{6-1, 0.025} = 2.571$ and $k = 0.05$, the number of replications should be 3 or above ($n = 2.26$). The final step is comparing the results of at least 3 replications with the actual

average number of patient arrivals which is 281 (Table 3). It is clear that the average number of patient arrivals using the simulation model (276) is very near to the actual one (281).

Table 2. Initial runs for estimating the number of replications

Simulation runs	Patient arrivals
1	273
2	284
3	270
4	263
5	281
6	268
Mean ($\bar{x}$)	273.17
Standard deviation (s)	7.99

Table 3. Validation data of the simulation model

Simulation		Average Actual arrivals
Replications	Patient arrivals	
1	273	281
2	284	
3	270	
Mean ($\bar{x}$)	275.67	
Standard deviation (s)	7.37	

3. Model experimentation and results analysis

After completing the verification and validation of the model, the results of the model experimentation are obtained. In the service sector, one of the most important factors in performance measurement is the average time each customer is in the system. In this study, the average time each patient spends in the health center is around 44 minutes which is a long time. In addition, the average number of patients in the system is around 25 patients which is relatively large (Table 4). However, analysis of data in Table 4 shows that the average utilization of the system is more than 86 percent.

Table 5 depicts the percentage of working and inactiveness of the different entities of the simulation model. As shown, one counter is 100% idle and the other two are idle most of the time. The utilization of doctors in the system is an important factor. As we can see, all of the doctors are almost always busy (more than 98 %). However, Laboratory and Pharmacy are busy for around 65 % and 35% of the working hours.

Table 4. Simulation report of the verified and validated model

Performance factor		Value
Number of entered patients		284
Number of shipped patients		257
Number of patients in the system		27
Average number of patients in the system		25.06
Average time spent by each patient in the system (Minute)		43.21
System Utilization	Mean	86.74 %
	Standard Deviation	13.64 %

Table 5. Report of simulation results for various model entities

Simulation Entity		Idle Time (%)	Busy Time (%)	Operations Number
Counters	1	83.38	16.62	282
	2	99.87	0.13	2
	3	100	0	0
Doctors	1	0.84	99.16	64
	2	1.02	98.98	63
	3	1.85	98.15	65
	4	1.66	98.34	65
Scanners	1	96.74	3.26	284
	2	97.05	2.95	257
Laboratory		35.53	64.47	42
Pharmacy		64.89	35.11	257

4. Conclusions

In this study, the queuing system of a Malaysian health center is studied to determine the strengths and weaknesses of services offered to patients. According to the scope of study, it can be concluded from the simulation model that although the system utilization is more than 86%, the average time each patient spends in the system (around 44 minutes) and the average number of patients in the system (25 patients) are large amounts that reveal the inappropriate service level in the health center. One reason

is a long queue of patients waiting to get visited by doctors which often bothers patients. Here, adding one doctor, if possible, can be considered as an improvement to decrease the waiting time of patients in the whole queuing system. Furthermore, there are some amounts of idle time in the counter service which can be reduced by the deduction of one counter.

References

- [1] P. L. Market and M. H. Mayer, WITNESS simulation software a flexible suite of simulation tools, Proceedings of the IEEE Simulation Conference, Ohio, USA, 1997, pp.711–717.
- [2] C. D. Barnes , J. L. Quiason , C. Benson and D. McGuiness, Success Stories In Simulation In Health Care, Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference, San Francisco, USA, 1997, pp.1280-1285.
- [3] S. M. Sanchez, D. M. Ferrin, T. Ogazon, J. A. Sepu'lveda and T. J. Ward, Emerging issues in healthcare simulation, Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference, New York, USA, 2000, pp.1999-2003.
- [4] J. B. Jun, S. H. Jacobson and J. R. Swisher, Application of discrete-event simulation in health care and clinics: a survey, Journal of the Operational Research Society, Vol.50, No.2, 1999, pp.109-123.
- [5] MASHnet, Modelling and simulation in healthcare, Available at www.mashnet.org.uk, 2005
- [6] T. Eldabi, R. J. Paul and T. Young, Simulation Modelling in Healthcare: Reviewing Legacies and Investigating Futures, *The Journal of the Operational Research Society*, Vol. 58, No. 2, Special Issue: Operational Research in Health, 2007, pp. 262-270
- [7] M. Lagergren, What is the role and contribution of models to management and research in the health services? A view from Europe, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 105, No. 2, 1998, pp. 257-266.
- [8] L. P. Baldwin, T. E. Ray J. Paul, Simulation in healthcare management: a soft approach (MAPIU), *Simulation Modelling Practice and Theory*, Vol. 12, No. 7-8, 2007, pp. 541–557
- [9] K. Silvester, R. Lendon, H. R. S. Bevan and P. Walley, Reducing waiting times in the NHS: Is lack of capacity the problem?, *Clinician in Management*, Vol. 12, No. 3, 2004, pp. 105–111.
- [10] Z. J. Radnor and R. Boaden, Lean in public services: Panacea or paradox?, *Public Money and Management*, Vol. 28, No. 1, 2008, pp. 3-7.
- [11] D. Sinreicha and Y. Marmor, Emergency department operations: The basis for developing a simulation tool. *IIE Transactions*, Vol. 37, 2005, pp. 233-245.
- [12] H. Beaulieu, J. A. Ferland, B. Gendron, and P. Michelon, A mathematical programming approach for scheduling physicians in the emergency room, *Health Care Management Science*, Vol. 3, No. 3, 2000, pp. 193-200.
- [13] S. Flessa, Where efficiency saves lives: A linear programme for the optimal allocation of health care resources in developing countries, *Health Care Management Science*, Vol.3, No. 3, 2000, pp. 249-267.
- [14] F. F. Baesler and J. A. Sepúlveda, Multi-objective simulation optimization for a cancer treatment center, *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference*, Arlington, USA, 2001, pp. 1405-1411.
- [15] F. F. Baesler, H. E. Jahnsen and M. DaCosta, Emergency departments I: the use of simulation and design of experiments for estimating maximum capacity in an emergency room, *Proceedings of the Winter Simulation Conference*, 2003, New Orleans, USA, pp. 1903-1906.
- [16] S. Robinson, Z. J. Radnor, N. Burgess and C. Worthington, SimLean: Utilising simulation in the implementation of lean in healthcare, *European Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 219, No. 1, 2012, pp. 188-197.
- [17] M. Pidd, *Computer simulation in management science (4th ed)*, Wiley, 1998
- [18] A. P. Waller, *WITNESS for Six Sigma*, Lanner group, 2009
- [19] R. G. Sargent, Verification and validation of simulation models, *Proceedings of the IEEE Winter Simulation Conference*, New York, USA, 2010, pp. 166-183.
- [20] K. Ahmed, Modeling Drivers' Acceleration and Land Changing Behavior, *PhD thesis*, Massachussettes Institute of Technology, Cambridge, USA, 1999.